

Interview Summary	In	terv	iew	Sum	marv
-------------------	----	------	-----	-----	------

09/843,749	Wilkinson			
Examiner	Art	t Unit		
Rabon Serge	nt	1711	}	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) Rabon Sergent	(3) W. Kenneth Wilkinson				
(2) Leander Aulisio	(4)				
Date of Interview Nov 8, 2002	· <del>-</del>				
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) X applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Claim(s) discussed: 1-29					
Identification of prior art discussed:  Seneker et al. ('118 or '357)	·				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	i. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the genera any other comments:	Il nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or				
	lifferentiate the instant invention from Seneker et al. by arguing				
that the respective compositions have different properties.					
	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is				
i) $oxed{X}$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).				
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST				

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1711

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached